Registry No. D,D-2-Octyl casylate, 83200-07-1; L,D-2-octyl casylate, 83214-07-7; L,L-2-octyl casylate, 83214-08-8; D,L-2-octyl casylate, 83214-09-9.

> Samuel P. McManus,\* F. Ellen Roberts<sup>16a</sup> David H. Lam,<sup>16b</sup> Bruce Hovanes<sup>16c</sup> *Department of Chemistry The University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama* **35899**  *Received June* **25,** *1982*

## Chiral Leaving Groups in Nucleophilic Displacement Reactions. Solvolysis of 2-Adamantyl and Cholesteryl Camphor-10-sulfonates (Casylates)

*Summary:* Significant rate and product differences between the 2-adamantyl D- and L-casylates upon solvolysis in aqueous  $L-(+)$ -ethyl lactate are taken as evidence of kinetic involvement of solvent-separated ion pairs involving both water and ethyl lactate.

*Sir:* In the preceding communication<sup>1</sup> we showed that the diastereomeric transition states of substrates undergoing backside solvolytic displacement (a  $k<sub>s</sub>$  process<sup>2</sup>) are very slightly different in energy. In view of efforts to utilize chiral leaving groups in asymmetric synthesis, $3$  the above finding suggests that steric factors alone will not suffice to provide a high enantiomeric excess. In this communication we show that large reactivity differences may occur with some substrates that undergo displacement via solvent-separated ion pair (SSIP) intermediates.

The experimental data from solvolysis of cholesteryl tosylate (1) are consistent with a mechanism involving solvolysis with  $\pi$  participation giving a SSIP intermediate, e.g., **6,** which produces rearranged or unrearranged product.<sup>4,5</sup> When cholesteryl D- and L-casylates<sup>6</sup> (2 and 3) are solvolyzed in 80% aqueous ethanol  $(v/v)$ , nearly identical<sup>7</sup> rate constants and activation parameters are found (Table I). These data are consistent with a mechanism involving rate-determining formation of the tight ion pairs (TIPS) **(4** or **5)** from neutral substrate **(2** or **3,** respectively). If the rate-determining step were formation of the SSIPs **(7**  or 8) from the TIPS **(4** or **5),** one would expect that the diastereomeric ion pairs would provide different steric barriers for solvent insertion<sup>8</sup> and, therefore, a larger

H. L. "Statistics for Chemistry"; Charles E. Merrill: Columbus, OH, 1973. **(8)** Eliel, E. L. "Stereochemistry of Carbon Compounds"; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1962; pp 65-68.



difference in rate between the isomers.

2-Adamantyl tosylate **(9)** is one of the very few secondary substrates known to solvolyze by a limiting *(k,)*  mechanism. $^{2,9,10}$  Although recently debated, the ratedetermining step in its solvolysis is indicated by various experimental probes to be conversion of TIPS and SSIPs<sup>11,12</sup> e.g., 10 to 11. Also, for a  $k_c$  system, 9 differs from the expected by its product stereochemistry, which is net retention.<sup>13</sup> It has been suggested<sup>2</sup> that because the



 $X = p$ -toluenesulfonate,  $SOH =$ hydroxylic solvent

backside of the 2-adamantyl system is sterically hindered, the principal model4 of solvolysis is formation and collapse by frontside attack of SSIPs. Therefore, as the solvent inserts into the TIP to form the SSIP, crowding should be important.

2-Adamantyl D- and L-casylate<sup>15</sup> were solvolyzed in 80% aqueous L- $(+)$ -ethyl lactate.<sup>16</sup> Because the 2-adamantyl fragment is symmetrical, diastereomeric transition states are present only when chiral ethyl lactate is involved in the activated complex. The rate data and activation parameters (Table 11) show that ethyl lactate must be involved as there is a significant difference in the ease of solvolysis of the 2-adamantyl enantiomers. Product studies provided selectivities<sup>10,17</sup>  $(k_{R0H}/k_{H_2O})$ : D-casylate = 0.29, L-casylate =  $0.38$ . On the basis of previous studies,<sup>10</sup> the preferential reaction with water upon solvolysis of 2 adamantyl derivatives in aqueous alcohols is expected.

(9) Bentley, T. W.; Bowen, C. T., Morten, D. H.; Schleyer, P. v. R. *J.*  Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5466-5475.

<sup>(1)</sup> McManus, S. P.; **Roberta,** F. E.; Lam, D. H.; Hovanes, B., preceding paper in this issue.

<sup>(2)</sup> Harris, J. M. *Prog. Phys. Org. Chem.* 1974, *11,* 89-173. (3) (a) Wilson, J. M.; Cram, D. J. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* 1983. *104.* 

<sup>881–884. (</sup>b) Duggan, P. G.; Murphy, W. S. J. Chem. Soc., *Perkin Trans. 1* 1976,634-638.

<sup>1 1970, 634–500.&</sup>lt;br>
(4) (a) Shoppee, C. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1946, 1147–1150. (b) Winstein,<br>
S.; Adams, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 838–842.<br>
(5) Sunko, D. E.; Borcic, S. In "Isotope Effects in Chemical Reactions";<br>
Collins,

<sup>1970;</sup> pp 160-212.

<sup>(6)</sup> Prepared from recrystallized cholesterol,  $[\alpha]^{25}$ <sub>D</sub> = -37.0° *(c* 4.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), and the respective D- or L-casyl chloride (ref 1): cholesteryl CHCl<sub>3</sub>), and the respective D- or L-casyl chloride (ref 1): cholesteryl D-casylate, mp 136.5-140.5,  $\left[\alpha\right]^{26}$ <sub>D</sub> = -2.5° *(c 4.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); cholesteryl* L-casylate, mp 136.5–137.5,  $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack^{26}$ <sub>D</sub> = -41.8° *(c* 3.98, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); each gave elemental analysis ±0.3% and expected IR and NMR spectra. elemental analysis  $\pm 0.3\%$  and expected IR and NMR spectra.<br>(7) Five different side-by-side rate measurements of the diastereomeric

pairs were run at 56.2 'C. As can be seen from Table I, the averages and error limits do not overlap. Since the conductivity cells were alternated between the isomers, it is unlikely that additional determinations would serve to change anything other than our confidence level; cf. Youmans,

<sup>(10)</sup> Harris, J. M.; Becker, A.; Fagan, J. F.; Walden, F. A. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1974,96, 4484-4489 and references therein.

<sup>(11)</sup> Shiner, V. J.; Nollen, D. A.; Humski, K. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2108-2115 and references therein.

<sup>(12)</sup> Paradisi, C.; Bunnett, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1981,103,946-948. (13) Bone, J. A.; Whiting, M. C. *Chem. Commun.* 1970, *115.* 

<sup>(14)</sup> There is evidence that a second solvolysis pathway may be si multaneously active; cf. ref ll.

<sup>(15)</sup> Prepared in the normal way, ref 1; 2-adamantyl D-casylate, mp<br>192–194 °C,  $[\alpha]^{25}$ <sub>D</sub> = +69° (c 0.96, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); 2-adamantyl L-casylate, mp<br>193–195 °C,  $[\alpha]^{25}$ <sub>D</sub> –44° (c 1.59, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); each gave elemental analysi  $±0.3%$  and expected spectra.

<sup>(16)</sup> From a freshly opened bottle (Aldrich).

<sup>(17)</sup> Product analyses were performed by GLC analysis, assuming a response factor for the ether product based on comparisons with other ethers.

Table I. Solvolysis Rates **of** Cholesteryl **D-** and L-Casylate in *80%* Aqueous Ethanol (v/v)

casyl- ate	$T, \degree C$	$10^{4}$ k, s <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ . kcal/mol	$\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ , eu
D	25.0 24.2 34.0 45.5 56.2	$0.487^{a}$ $0.442 \pm 0.019$ $1.49 \pm 0.10$ $5.63 \pm 0.27$ $18.4 \pm 0.30$	$22.0^{b}$	$-4.38^{b}$
L	25.0 25.2 34.6 47.4 56.2	$0.548^{a}$ $0.556 \pm 0.004$ $1.81 \pm 0.02$ $7.65 \pm 0.09$ $19.6 \pm 0.30$	$21.8^{b}$	$-5.03b$

 $a$  Calculated from rates at other temperatures.  $b$  Based on **11** conductimetrically determined rates at the temperatures shown *(r* = **1.00).** 

Table **11.** Solvolysis Rates **of** 2-Adamantyl D- and L-Casylates in *80%* Aqueous **L(+** )-Ethyl Lactate (v/v)

casyl- ate	$T$ . $^{\circ}$ C	$10^{4}h$ , s <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ . kcal/mol	$\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ , eu
D	25.0 90.4 100.4 125.0	$0.00114^a$ $0.728 \pm 0.025$ $1.92 \pm 0.01$ $10.2 \pm 0.010$	$20.9^{b}$	$-20.3^{b}$
L	25.0 80.2 90.3 100.4 115.2	$0.0000984^a$ $0.155 \pm 0.011$ $0.450 \pm 0.007$ $1.40 \pm 0.13$ $5.52 \pm 0.27$	27.3 <sup>c</sup>	$-3.7c$

 $a$  Calculated from rates at other temperatures.  $b$  Based <sup>*a*</sup> Calculated from rates at other temperatures. <sup>*b*</sup> Based on six conductimetrically determined rates at the temperatures shown  $(r = 0.998)$ . <sup>*c*</sup> Based on 10 conductimetri-<br>celly determined nates at the temperature s cally determined rates at the temperatures shown *(r* = **0.999).** 

However, since the rate studies implicate ethyl lactate involvement, the strong preference for water is **surprising.**  Consideration of these facts prompt us to reject simple structures of these SSIPs with a single solvent molecule

between the cation and leaving group (see structure **11).**  Instead, a more complex ion pair involving both solvents is more satisfactory.<sup>18,19</sup> In such an ion pair the ethyl lactate may be primarily involved in electrophilically solvating the leaving group, while the smaller and more nucleophilic water molecule is better able to solvate cations frontside (and backside?). While our overall view of these solvolytic processes is still somewhat rudimental, our experiments utilizing chiral leaving groups have been helpful in confirming that SSIPs are involved in the rate-determining step in the solvolysis of 2-adamantyl substrates. Also, these studies suggest that more than one solvent molecule is involved in the SSIP.

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for financial support of this research.

**Registry No. 2, 83220-34-2; 3, 83289-25-2; 9, 25139-43-9; 2**  adamantyl **D-casylate, 83220-35-3; 2-adamantyl L-casylate, 83289- 26-3; L-(+)-ethyl lactate, 687-47-8.** 

**(20) American Chemical Society-Petroleum Research Fund Undergraduate Scholar, 1979-1980.** 

## Samuel **P.** McManus,\* Kamellia Kamkar Safavy F. Ellen Roberts<sup>20</sup>

*Department of Chemistry The University of Alabama in Huntsville Huntsville, Alabama* **35899**  *Received June 25, 1982* 

<sup>(18)</sup> At least two solvent molecules are suggested to separate the cation<br>and anion; cf. Simonetta, M. "Chemical Reactivity and Reaction<br>Pathways"; Klopman, G., Ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1974;<br>Chapter 2. Grunwald,

**<sup>(19)</sup> The involvement of two solvent molecules has been suggested for**  tert-butyl chloride solvolysis in aqueous solutions; cf. Blandamer, M. J.; Burgess, J.; Duce, P. P.; Symons, M. C.; Robertson, R. E.; Scott, J. W.<br>Burgess, J.; Duce, P. P.; Symons, M. C.; Robertson, R. E.; Scott, J. W.<br>M.